

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/938,280 08/23/2001 Satoshi Ohta 2019.005 1196 7590 11/05/2002 NILLES & NILLES, S.C. **EXAMINER** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS LUGO, CARLOS FIRSTAR CENTER, SUITE 2000 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5345 3677

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	-06	Applicant(s)		
•					\mathcal{L}	
	Offic Action Summary	09/938,280		OHTA ET AL.		
	omo monon cumua,	Examiner		3677	1	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Carlos Lugo	r sheet with the c		ess	
Period f r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 October 2002.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-f	inal.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	idei Ex parte Quayie	, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-		
LLS Patent and	Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/938,280

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on October 7, 2002.
 Claim 2 was cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 6,048,002 to Ohta et al (Ohta) in view of US Pat No 6,176,528 to Taga.

Ohta discloses a door closer (103) comprising a latch (108) engaging an engagement member (4), an urging member (111) that urges the latch towards the initial position, a ratchet (110), an actuation mechanism (114,116,117,118,120 and 122), a motor (M) and a controller to control the motor (Figures 1,13A-21 and Col. 10 Line 2 to Col. 21 Line 10).

However, Ohta fails to disclose that the latch mechanism includes a courtesy switch.

Taga teaches that is known in the art to have a courtesy switch (85,86 and 88) to detect the door is in a predetermined position separate from the release position in the door opening direction.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a courtesy switch, as taught by Taga, into a latching device as described by Ohta, in order to prevent inadvertent closing of the door.

As to claims 17-19, Ohta discloses the use of a positive temperature coefficient thermistor (147).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed October 7, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments to the use of Ohta and Taga references, Ohta discloses and illustrates the invention substantially as claimed (See Figures 1,13A-21 and Col. 10 Line 2 to Col. 21 Line 10).

Taga is used to show that the courtesy switch is known in the art in that type of mechanism.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing

Application/Control Number: 09/938,280

Art Unit: 3677

date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply

expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo. The examiner phone number is (703)-

305-9747. The fax number for correspondence before a final action is (703)-872-

9326 and the fax number for correspondence after final action is (703)-872-9327.

The email direction of the examiner is carlos.lugo@uspto.gov. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30am to 6:30pm (EST). If the

examiner is not available, please leave a message, including the application number

and the examiner will answer the message as soon as possible.

October 31, 2002

ROBERT J. SANDY

Page 4

PRIMARY EXAMINE